

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,234	10/30/2006	Paulo Sergio Dainez	DANN 2 00001	4800	
27885 7590 09/14/2009 Fay Sharpe LLP			EXAMINER		
1228 Euclid A	venue, 5th Floor	JACOBS, TODD D			
The Halle Buil Cleveland, OH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
crevening or			3746		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/587,234	DAINEZ ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
TODD D. JACOBS	3746				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

. chea ic. hop.y	
A SHORTENED STATUTIORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THI Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.736(a). In no even of the provision of 37 CFR 1.736(b). In no even of the provision of 37 CFR 1.736(b). In no even of the provision of 37 CFR 1.736(b) in the provision of 37 CFR 1.736(b)	S COMMUNICATION. It, however, may a reply be timely filed expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. action to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is no	on-final.
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except f 	•
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requ	uirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is require	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer	received
Certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the priority documents have been copies.	
Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certifi	. , ,
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

U.S.	Pater	t and	Trade	mark Off	K
PT	OL-3	26	(Rev.	08-06	١

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

Application/Control Number: 10/587,234 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Flection/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, 18-19 drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 417, subclass 44.1.
- Claims 11-17, drawn to a method classified in class 417, subclass 53.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case it is necessary that the apparatus is or can be used for a cooling system (see claims 18 and 2), wherein the motor has a stator (see claim 1) and wherein the system uses a processing unit (see claim 19).
- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification:
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

Application/Control Number: 10/587,234

Art Unit: 3746

(d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention:

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112. first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

 Further, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species. Application/Control Number: 10/587,234 Page 4 Art Unit: 3746

- The "first embodiment" as defined in the specification, ie Fig 5
 - Control system with a linear compressor
 - Control system with a motor
- ii The "second embodiment" as defined in the specification, ie Figs 12-14
 - Control system with a linear compressor
 - Control system with a motor

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,234

Art Unit: 3746

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TODD D. JACOBS whose telephone number is 571-270-5708. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday. 7:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,234

Art Unit: 3746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on 571-272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746

/TODD D. JACOBS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3746